

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,927	09/26/2001	Thomas Rogers	3391/PCT	1278
26648	7590 01/28/2004		EXAM	INER
PHARMACIA CORPORATION			LUKTON, DAVID	
GLOBAL PATENT DEPARTMENT POST OFFICE BOX 1027			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63006			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,927	NOGERS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Lukton	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 October 2002.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers	•	•.
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) dobjected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• ,	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received. The priority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The list of the certified copies not be set in priority under 35 U.S.C. as first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be set in priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage  received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) reation or in an Application Data Sheet.  seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
uttachment(s)		·
) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/963,927 Art Unit 1653

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to compounds.
- II. Claims 6-7, drawn to methods.

The claimed inventions are distinct.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). However, in the event that Group I is elected, and claims therein found allowable, the corresponding method-of-use claims will be rejoined for further examination (subject to the same limitations on the compounds).

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to

Serial No. 09/963, 927 Art Unit 1653

final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. A "specie", in this case, is a specific compound with all substituent variables fully accounted for.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Serial No. 09/963,927 Art Unit 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PATENT EXAMINEM GROLE 1800